6/25/71

Dear Paul (JNS),

In odds and ends of moments I have ag in skimmed and filed the JG papers you sent, probably from Bud. A few of them do have items of interest.

Where this is the case, where there is not obvious error, there is little if anything I can add to what I've already sent you on these topics.

Sewell's Deslatte confirmation does lend it credibility and tend to explain why the FBO kept the bid in the N.O. office. I do not think it ever got to DC or was ever asked for by DC, either FBI or WW.

Davis lied about much, but there is something here he did not blab to me. That is the LHO Lee circle distribution and his seeing the arrest. He held out on the Shaw bit ormade it up later. He told me more than is attributed here to Billings.

Quiroga fighting with deB is fascinating and can suggest that he was deB's informant. The bit about his keeping Arcacha is what I learned from others. Aside from providing things like groceries, I heard he was in for about $600.00.

McAuliffe never told me of being an informant for the FBI. He probably has a number not in the assassination stuff, but this is conjecture and can easily be wrong. He is a poet, a prof of lit and has an advertising or p.r. business. They never asked him about Thornley, who he didn't like and knew but slightly and considered a non-writer.

Vega surely knew more about the camp and the names of those there.

Gurvich's tape recorder didn't work on the Davis interview and he didn't discover this until he and Alcock were back in N.O., according to what I was told.

This background on Oster, who recently worked for the State labor-rackets committee and, presumeably, against the DJ faction in the Partin hassle, is interesting. Ivon discouraged me from trusting him. Southern Research was listed in the phone book as see under Wackenhut, a large outfit of former FBIs.

Certainly there is sign here that the possibilities for real investigation did exist and were flubbed.

The R. Senal letter is interesting because there had been nothing in the papers about Davis as of that time. This indicates the possibility of genuineness.

Hastily,